



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,761	09/15/2003	J. Christopher Flaherty	59249-157 (INSL-125DV)	1492
36310	7590	10/03/2007		
INSULET CORPORATION 9 Oak Park Drive Bedford, MA 01730			EXAMINER MACNEILL, ELIZABETH	
			ART UNIT 3767	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 10/03/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

88

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/662,761	FLAHERTY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Elizabeth R. MacNeill	3767	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Elizabeth R. MacNeill. (3) _____

(2) Tony Diehl. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 25 September 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 31 and 61.

Identification of prior art discussed: Haller (US 7,070,577) and Nason (US 7,052,251).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Three changes were discussed which would overcome the prior art of record. First, a syringe type pump in the preamble. Second, that the plunger assembly is wholly disposed within the container. Third, that the first and second lateral segments are laterally moveable relative to each other.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

KEVIN C. SIRMONS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Kevin C. Sirmons

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Elizabeth R. MacNeill
Examiner's signature, if required